**Annexure C**

**Confidential Reporting form C**

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE EVALUATION**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Profile of the evaluator(s):** | |
| **Name of the evaluators** | **Contact Details with phone no.** |
| **Ms.Leishangthem Ranjana**  **External Evaluator** | **+91 9862282021**  [**raji\_nao@yahoo.com**](mailto:raji_nao@yahoo.com) |
| **Ms.Indira Thockchom**  **External Evaluater** | **+91 8974005059**  **indirathockchom@gmail,com** |
| **Rk Joyshree**  **Finance Evaluator** | **+91 8974043577**  **Joysh999@yahoo.com** |
| **Officials from SACS/TSU (as facilitator)** |  |
| **Dr Gopal**  **District Aids Officer** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of the NGO** | **Manipur Network of Positive** |
| **Typology of the target population** | **IDU +OST** |
| **Total population being covered against target** | **313 IDUs, 246 OST clients** |
| **Dates of Visit** | **19th and 21st Oct 2015** |
| **Place of Visit** | **DIC,Hotspots (Imphal East)** |

**Overall Rating based programme delivery score:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Total Score**  **Obtained(in%)** | **Category** | **Rating** | **Recommendations** |
| **65.9%** | B |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Specific Recommendation:** |
| 1. Ratio of HRGs with per PE is about 1: 78. The number of active HRGs im linelist is 313. There is about 90% variation in the HRGs and PE ratio as per project proposal. This shows that the quality of service is poor as the PE has to reach out to 78 HRGs instead of 40. The TI need validate the HRGs and identify to prioritize those HRGs who are really in need of such services so the quality of service will improved. 2. Social marketing of condoms initiated but none of the HRGs know about it. They are getting only free condoms, The HRGs wanted good quality condoms. Proper register is not maintained. Only stock register maintained. 3. The topics of the meetings conducted with HRGs are all related to service like HIV, STI, OST etc which is very important. The meetings can also focus on discussion formation of groups, crisis management, review of previous meetings, planning of project activities etc. 4. Most of the HRGs in FGDs have knowledge about Condom, N/S and ICTC. Some of them have heard about STI but very few know the symptoms of STI and its treatment. Therefore HRGs need to be educated with other project activities like community mobilisation-- formation of crisis committee, STI treatment, condom social marketing etc. as many are not aware during FGDs. 5. The whole tracking system need to be strengthened as to avoid the variance between the CMIS and data in register like for Counselling, ICTC referral etc. 6. It is also observed that referral is not taking place from other TI for OST. Also the TI do not do any referral of OST clients out of project area to the concerned TI for other services. Referral and networking with other TI for OST should be done,   . |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of the evaluators** | **Signature** |
| **L.Ranjana** |  |
| **Indira Thockchom** |  |
| **Rk Joyshree** |  |